

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,304	05/11/2001	Robert J. Bernardi	18864-04962US	7812
32681 7590 03/07/2007 PLANTRONICS, INC.		EXAMINER		
345 ENCINAL STREET			BRINEY III, WALTER F	
P.O. BOX 635 SANTA CRUZ	Z, CA 95060-0635		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/854,304	BERNARDI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	Walter F. Briney III	2615		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to reply recovery	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAST time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wiply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, believed by the Office later than three months after the mailing in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sinc	consive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 December</u> action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This e this application is in condition for alloward in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of	f Claims				
4a) C 5)∭ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdraven(s) is/are allowed. In(s) <u>1-15,19-25 and 27-33</u> is/are rejected. In(s) <u>16-18 and 26</u> is/are objected to. In(s) are subject to restriction and/or apers	vn from consideration.			
	•	r			
10)∭ The o	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the accement drawing sheet(s) including the correct toath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of R 2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number: 09/854,304

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6, 10, 13-15, 19, 22, 24, 28 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipated by Ruegg (US Patent 3,875,349).

Claims 1-3, 6, 10, 13-15, 19, 22, 24, 28 and 33 are rejected for the same reasons presented in the Non-Final Office Action filed 05 June 2006, and incorporated herein by reference, as the instant amendments fail to further limit the claims as shown below in the *Response to Arguments* section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killion et al. (US Patent 5,524,056) in view of Ruegg.

Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected for the same reasons presented in the Non-Final Office Action filed 05 June 2006, and incorporated herein by reference, as the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/854,304

Art Unit: 2615

amendments fail to further limit the claims as shown below in the *Response to Arguments* section.

3. Claims 1, 4, 5, 11, 12, 19-23, 25, 27 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagen et al. (US Patent 6,389,142).

Claims 1, 4, 5, 11, 12, 19-23, 25, 27 and 28-32 are rejected for the same reasons presented in the Non-Final Office Action filed 05 June 2006, and incorporated herein by reference, as the instant amendments fail to further limit the claims as shown below in the *Response to Arguments* section.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

4. Claims 16-18 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 16-18 and 26 are allowable for the same reasons presented in the Non-Final Office Action field 05 June 2006, and incorporated herein by reference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 10, 13-15 19, 22, 24, 28 and 33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is noted that the instant amendment rendered each independent claim—1, 19, 22 and

Art Unit: 2615

28—to require an error signal generated in response to signals from both a first and second microphone. The applicant posits that such a requirement differentiates over the prior art since all art of record produces an error signal from a first or second microphone in a non-simultaneous manner; however, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Although each independent claim generates an error signal based on signals from both microphones, there is no language in the claims requiring that such generation occurs through simultaneous consideration of first and second microphones signals. The current language, in effect, fails to further limit the scope of the claims as previously presented. Therefore, all rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2615

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter F. Briney III whose telephone number is 571-272-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wfb 3/3/07 SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER